

The State of CONNECTICUT

Jurisdiction: CONNECTICUT SUPREME COURT

Barack Obama, aka: Barack Obama, Jr., aka: Barack Hussein Obama, aka: Barry Soetoro; aka: Barry Obama; aka: Barack Obama, presumed President of the United States; Nancy Pelosi, Chair of the DNC; Democratic National Convention, et al.

Defendants

Received by:

(Court stamp or person's name and signature)

*M. J. Higgins  
Chief Clerk*

The State of CONNECTICUT

Jurisdiction: Congressman John B. Larson

Barack Obama, aka: Barack Obama, Jr., aka: Barack Hussein Obama, aka: Barry Soetoro; aka: Barry Obama; aka: Barack Obama, presumed President of the United States; Nancy Pelosi, Chair of the DNC; Democratic National Convention, et al.

Defendants

Received by:

(Court stamp or person's name and signature)

*Stacy's Mercedes  
J Mercedes*

OCT 26 2009

WAR  
NOT a  
SERVE  
guarant

Any Co  
refuses  
Constit  
of Treas  
these Pr

Jurisdic

77  
th  
sh

The "sha  
universa  
unconstit  
the duty

### Grand Jury Presentments Re: Fraud (eligibility), Treason and Election Fraud

**WARNING:** These are Grand Jury Criminal Presentments. These documents are NOT a lawsuit or a Court filing. The Court named in the Jurisdiction above is being SERVED said Criminal Presentments according to the Constitutional rights as guaranteed to the Citizens of the United States of America.

Any Court clerk, employee, representative, Judge, Prosecutor or Officer of the Court that refuses to accept these Presentments can be held accountable for violating Constitutional law. Such violation may include "Obstruction of Justice" or "Misprision of Treason or Felony." Judicial Article III Courts are required to review and respond to these Presentments.

**Jurisdiction:** Article III Courts cannot abdicate their own Jurisdiction.

#### Article III, Section 2 of the United States Constitution

*The judicial Power shall extend to all Cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, and Treaties made, or which shall be made, under their Authority*

The "shall extend to all Cases" is a mandatory statement, as much as it is a universal one. If the Court refuses to extend its jurisdiction to all Cases, then it is acting unconstitutionally. The "arising under this Constitution", clearly explains that it is the duty of the Court to uphold the Constitution.